

April 30, 1975

a hundred things that don't have anything for construction this year. The Governor has come in here. He has warned everyone about the amount of money. I do not agree with the Governor normally. I'm a hundred percent in favor of the Governor on this. There just isn't the money. Now if you want to continue to spend the money then you better have the backbone to raise the taxes to do it. When you put it on the sales and income, after we just crawled out of that hole, turned right around and put it back on the property tax, I just think it's wrong. Now if you would read on page 9 of that bill on the fire service, it says "the board shall, within 90 days of the operative date of this act, formulate a mutually agreeable plan for assuming the responsibilities and assets for the fire service safety training program and emergency medical training program". Now listen to this cute little thing, "if no mutually acceptable plan is agreed upon within 90 days of the operative date of this act, the assets and liabilities of such programs shall be liquidated. Funds remaining after liquidation shall be apportioned equally among the colleges". Do away with the fire service and do away with the emergency medical training plan. I think it's a stinking bill. I hope it doesn't pass.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Chair recognizes the Speaker.

SPEAKER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think we've had a good, long discussion. Seventeen members of the Legislature have discussed this advancement motion, besides the other amendments which are offered and adopted or rejected. I move that debate cease on the committee amendment.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Do I see five hands? The question is shall debate cease. Record your vote. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays . . . 4 nays.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Senator Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to close. I'm disappointed in Senator Clark. Senator Clark wants to kill the bill. Of course, he was picking at it here and picking at it there. We know his motivations, I do. He's had a state supported school in his area for a long time while some of us had nothing. He's fighting for that. I commend him for that. I don't commend him for picking at it. I think that the appropriate thing to do, if he felt that way, was to kill it, not how about this and how about that. There's no new information in this bill. These are things that we've done with subdivisions of government, everything listed in there. Issuing of warrants is not a new revelation. Acquisition of property is not a new revelation. It's done across this state on an almost daily basis by subdivisions of government. There's nothing that new in here. Senator Clark's approach is that he doesn't want this and he wants to continue for the state to pay for his school out there, which was one of the . . . the only one of two that we paid for, then he ought to say that. He